



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/536,969	03/28/2000	James D. Logan	A-005	4915
21253	7590 11/07/2003		. EXAMINER .	
CHARLES G. CALL			CHANG, JUNGWON	
68 HORSE P WEST YARN	OND ROAD MOUTH, MA 02673-2516	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	,		2154	-1
			DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003	3 /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		4	▲	PRG			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/536,969	LOGAN ET AL.	•			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jungwon Chang	2154	•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION USIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pereceived by the office later than three months after the maximum adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, n. a reply within the statutory minimun eriod will apply and will expire SIX ( tatute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timel 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>16 July 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 13-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11 and 12</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.0.0.440(-).(1)(0)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	)  The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	i) 5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No tice of Informal Patent Application (PT er:				

Application/Control Number: 09/536,969 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 "an program segment" should be changed to "a program segment" (claim 11, line 2).
 Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-10 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schulhof et al. (US 5,572,442), hereinafter Schulhof, in view of Ostrover et al. (US 6,026,446), hereinafter Ostrover.
- 5. As to claims 1 and 13, Schulhof discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including a method for selectively reproducing locally stored programming signals (col.
- 5, lines 52-67) comprising, in combination, the steps of storing a first set of separate programming segments at a client location (50, fig.

Application/Control Number: 09/536,969

Art Unit: 2154

1; col. 4, lines 57-63; col. 4, lines 30-32);

employing processing means to derive identification data from each of said first set of separate programming segments (col. 4, lines 63-64; col. 5, lines 5-8);

transmitting said identification data from said client location to a remote processing location (col. 5, lines 9-12 and 52-53; col. 6, lines 31-39; col. 9, line 65 – col. 10, line 4),

at said remote processing location comparing said identification data with a database containing identification information and associated content descriptions for each of a second set of programming segments (col. 10, line 35 – col. 11, line 15);

transmitting from said remote processing location to said client location selected ones of content descriptions stored in said database which describe said program segments (col. 6, lines 40-61; col. 10, lines 40-41), and

at said client location, presenting said selected content descriptions to a user to facilitate the selective processing of said program segments (col. 12, lines 18-26).

6. Schulhof does not specifically disclose common program segments found in both said first and said second set of programming segments. However, Ostrover discloses common program segments found in both said first and said second set of programming segments (col. 14, lines 35-54; col. 20, lines 44-47). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Schulhof and Ostrover because common program segments in Ostrover's would improve the mirroring contents of Schulhof's system by allowing users to redundantly

Page 4

Art Unit: 2154

record the common programs, thereby allowing seamless play to take place (Ostrover, col. 20, lines 44-47).

- 7. As to claim 2, Schulhof discloses at least some of said programming signals are recorded musical performance (col. 1, lines 8-13).
- 8. As to claim 3, Schulhof discloses content descriptions specify one or more attributes of the corresponding recorded musical performance from the group of attributes consisting of the title, performer, composer and date of the corresponding recorded musical performance (i.e., program guide; col. 5, lines 5-8).
- 9. As to claim 4, Schulhof discloses the first set of programming signals comprises receiving and recoding broadcasted programming signals (col. 5, lines 52-67).
- 10. As to claim 5, Schulhof discloses the identification data contained in the database are derived from the broadcasted programming signals (col. 9, line 65 col. 10, line 14).
- 11. As to claim 6, Schulhof discloses specifying the beginning and end time of each of said program segments (i.e., broadcast schedule; col. 6, lines 19-23).
- 12. As to claim 8, Schulhof further discloses maintaining a stored library (18, fig. 1)

Art Unit: 2154

containing the second set of programming segments at the remote processing location (14-16, fig. 1; col. 6, lines 8-13), accepting a retrieval request from the client location (col. 5, lines 9-12 and 52-53; col. 6, lines 31-39; col. 9, line 65 – col. 10, line 4), and responding the request by transmitting to the client (col. 6, lines 40-61; col. 10, lines 40-41).

- 13. As to claim 9, Schulhof discloses uploading a copy of a program segment stored locally at said client location to said remote processing location (i.e., two-way communications; col. 5, lines 9-12 and 52-53; col. 6, lines 31-39; col. 9, line 65 col. 10, line 4).
- 14. As to claim 10, Schulhof discloses posting an entry upon the transmittal of the identification data to the remote processing location, subsequently transmitting a playback request identifying the client location and identifying a requested program segment (col. 10, lines 52-65).
- 15. As to claims 14 and 18-20, Schulhof discloses displaying the program guide data for use at the client station to facilitate the selection and reproduction of desired ones of the particular programs (col. 5, lines 5-8).
- 16. As to claim 16, Schulhof discloses recording and processing the broadcast signal at the client location are performed by a programmed personal computer (64, 66,

Application/Control Number: 09/536,969 Page 6

Art Unit: 2154

fig. 2; col. 11, lines 60-64; col. 12, lines 40-46).

17. As to claim 17, Schulhof discloses the communication channel is the Internet (col. 13, lines 46-55).

- 18. As to claim 7, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 1.
- 19. As to claim 15, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 6.
- 20. Claims 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Pocock, patent 5,661,787, Logan et al, patent 6,199,076 B1, Abecassis, patent 6,553,178 B2 disclose method and system for automatically playing a predetermined schedule of audio program segments.

22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jungwon Chang whose telephone number is (703)305-

Application/Control Number: 09/536,969

Art Unit: 2154

Page 7

9669. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00 (Monday-Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703)308-9052. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)746-7239 for regular communications and (703)746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-9669.

Jungwon Chang November 3, 2003 N. S. Hool